FILED U.S. GEORGET COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

Z009 (OCT 28	5 AM	10:	04
OS ERK	\mathcal{L}	71	ha	g .

SO. DIST. OF GA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
v.)	CR 109-073
SEQUOYAH ELAM)	
		-

ORDER

Before the Court are the various pre-trial and discovery motions filed by Defendant Sequoyah Elam. The United States of America, by and through its attorney, Joseph D. Newman, Acting United States Attorney, and Nancy C. Greenwood, Assistant United States Attorney, has filed a combined response to these motions.

Although Defendant did not file a specific motion for discovery, the government states that it has provided "open file" discovery in this case. The government has provided approximately 2,535 pages of discovery material, and 11 DVDs containing recorded phone calls and summaries of those calls; additional recordings were made available for review at the office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"). The discovery materials include, inter alia, the investigation reports of the FBI and the United States Attorney's Office (attorney and agent work product excepted, and personal identification information redacted). All known statements by Defendant have also been produced, as has his criminal record. The results of any scientific tests have been provided in the discovery materials, but if any further tests are conducted, the results will be provided upon their receipt. In any event, the Court finds that the position of the United States Attorney in permitting full disclosure of the

government's file pertaining to this case would render any such specific motion for discovery **MOOT**.

However, to ensure that there are no outstanding discovery requests, the Court hereby requires counsel for Defendant to submit not later than five (5) days from the date of this Order a written statement describing existing disputes or unresolved items, if any, that have not been specifically addressed elsewhere in this Order. The statement should detail the specific items sought and should include a memorandum of law.

Defense counsel is reminded that dissemination of discovery material beyond that necessary to the preparation of the defense is prohibited by Loc. Crim. R. 16.1.

Any discovery material turned over to Defendant shall be maintained by Defendant and not further disseminated. Failure to comply with the terms of this Order may result in contempt proceedings.

MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY AND IMPEACHING MATERIAL

Defendant filed a motion seeking the disclosure of exculpatory and impeaching information in accordance with the principles of <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). (Doc. no. 32). To some extent, Defendant's requests exceed the scope of <u>Brady</u>. <u>Brady</u> material includes information that is favorable to a defendant and material to the issues of guilt or punishment. <u>Brady</u>, 373 U.S. at 87; <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976). This motion is **GRANTED** to the extent that the government must provide all <u>Brady</u> material to Defendants within five (5) days of the

date it is received or its existence becomes known. With regard to impeaching information, the government must disclose this information seven (7) days prior to trial.

MOTION FOR LIST OF GOVERNMENT WITNESSES

Defendant filed a motion requesting that the government be ordered to furnish a complete list of witnesses. In non-capital cases such as this case, a defendant is generally not entitled to a list of government witnesses. <u>United States v. Massell</u>, 823 F.2d 1503, 1509 (11th Cir. 1987); <u>United States v. Johnson</u>, 713 F.2d 654, 659 (11th Cir. 1983); <u>United States v. Colson</u>, 662 F.2d 1389, 1391 (11th Cir. 1981). However, as a practical matter, it would appear that Defendant will be receiving much of this information because of the government's liberal discovery policy and because of the government's obligation to disclose material pursuant to the Jencks Act and/or <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This, in essence, moots Defendant's request. While this Court retains the right to exercise its discretion in permitting Defendant to have access to a list of government witnesses, at most the government would be required to comply with this request not more than ten (10) days prior to trial. Therefore, this motion is **DENIED**. (Doc. no. 33).

MOTIONS FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY and NOTICE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY

These motions filed by the government seek reciprocal discovery from Defendant under Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, including a written summary of any evidence Defendant intends to offer under Fed. R. Evid. 702, 703, or 705. In light of

the government's willingness to provide "open file" discovery, it is entitled to this information. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1). Accordingly, these motions are **GRANTED**. (Doc. nos. 197-1, 197-2).

SO ORDERED this 26 to day of October, 2009, at Augusta, Georgia.

W. LEON BARFIELD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE